No. 11(112)-80-8Lab/11203.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer. Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of Municipal Committee, Panipat:—

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,

ROHTAK

Reference No. 239 of 1978

between

SHRI SANT KUMAR, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE PANIPAT

Present.-Shri D. P. Pathik, along with workman.

Shri Surinder Kaushal, for the management.

AWARD

This reference No. 239 of 1978 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor,—vide his order No. ID/KNL/51-78/38458, dated 22nd August, 1980, under section 10(2)(c) of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Sant Kumar workman and the management of Municipal Committee, Panipat. The term of the reference was:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Sant Kumar was justified and in order? If not, o what relief is he entitled?"

On the receipt of the order of reference notices as usual were sent to the parties. The parties put in their appearance through their authorised representative filed their respective pleadings, on the basis of which issues were framed and the case was fixed for evidence of the management on 21st May, 1979. One witness St. Firloki Prashed examined for the management and the case was fixed for the remaining evidence of the management to be recorded on 23rd July, 1979. After obtaining several aljournments for production of the remaining evidence the management arrived at a settlement with the workman. The statement of the workman was recorded on 18th September, 1980. In view of his statement the workman has been reappointed with effect from 17th September, 1980 and he had forgone his right of back wages and continuity of service. Accordingly I answer the reference and return the same in these terms. No order as to consts.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Dated, the 29th Septembe, 1980.

Endorsement No. 2342, dated 1st October, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-80-8Lab/11214.—In pursuance of the prevision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Rubber Ways Company Private Limited, 13/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI ISHWAR PARSHAD CHAUDHRY, PRESIDIND OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 89 of 1980

between

SHRI GULAB CHAND, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S RUBBER WAYS COMPANY PRIVATE LIMITED, 13/3, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD.

Present :-

Shri Krishan Lal, for the workman.

Shri J. S. Satoha, for the respondent Management.

AWARD

This reference No. 89 of 1980 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/12-80/9718, dated 25th February, 1980 under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Gulab Chand and the management of M/s Rubber Ways Company- Private Limited, 13/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Gulab Chand was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

After receiving the order of reference notices were issued to both the parties who appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties issues were framed by me on 20th June, 1980. But on 23rd July, 1980 the representative of the workman made a statement that he had send messages to the workman concerned to afrend this Court, but he was not coming to pursue his case, so he withdrew himself from the proceedings of this reference.

In the light of above statement made by Shri Krishan Lal, representative of the workman, I hold there is no dispute pending between the parties to be adjudicated upon and give my no dispute award.

This be read in answer to this reference.

ISHWAR PRASAD CHAUDHRY,

Dated the 11th September, 1980.

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endst. No. 1841, dated the 30th September, 1980

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

ISHWAR PRASHAD CHAUDHRY,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-80-8 Lab/11215.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Rapid Cast Foundry, N.I.T., Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI ISHWAR PRASAD CHAUDHRY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 75 of 1980

between

SHRI SANT RAM, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S RAPID CAST FOUNDRY, N.I.T., FARIDABAD

Present :-

None for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the respondent management.

AWARD

This reference No. 75 of 1980 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/8780, dated 19th February, 1980 under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Sant Ram, workman and the management of M/s Rapid Cast Foundry, N.I.T. Faridabad, the term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sant Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

After receiving this reference notices were issued to both the parties who appeared before me through their authorised representative. On 17th July, 1980 the case was fixed for admission and denial by the workman. None was present on behalf of the management. It was 11.30 a.m. I proceeded.—exparte against the workman and the case was fixed for.—exparte evidence of the management for 23rd July, 1980.

On 23rd July, 1980 the statement of Shri R. C. Sharma was recorded as MW-1. He stated that the management had never terminated the services of the workman but the workman left his services of his own accord after submitting his resignation Ex. M-1. After that the workman had received his full and final account,—wide Ex. M-2, and Ex. M-3. Now no dispute was pending between the parties, and the management closed their case.

In view of the unrebutted.— exparte evidence produced by the management, I am left with no choice except to believe the version of the management. Over and above this my findings sets support from the absenting of the workman in the proceedings in this court in this reference. I feel that the workman had settled their disputes with the respondent management and no claim is made out of the workman against the management. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs. This may be read in answer to this reference.

ISHWAR PRASAD CHAUDHRY,

Dated the 11th September, 1980.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endst. No. 1842, dated the 30th September, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the said award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

ISHWAR PRASAD CHAUDHRY,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

No 11(112)-80-8Lab/11216.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Everest Steel Febricators, Tigaon Road, Ballabgath:—

IN THE COURT OF SHRI ISHWAR PRASHAD CHAUDHRY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 308 of 1980

between

SHRI BHOLA RAM, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. EVEREST STEEL FEBRICATORS, TIGAON ROAD, BALLABGARH

Present .-

Shri Darshan Singh, for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma and Shri Satish Ahuja, for the respondent-management-

AWARD

This reference No. 308 of 1980 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/72-80/38899, dated 24th July, 1980 under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Bhola Ram, workman and the management of M/s Everest Steel Fabricators, Tigaon Road, Ballabgarh. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Bhola Ram was justified and in order? If not. to what relief is he entitled?

After receiving this reference notices were issued to both the parties for 26th August, 1980. On 26th August, 1980 both the parties appeared before me. Shri Satish Ahuja authorised representative of the management made a statement before this court that the workman was taken back on duty from July, 1980 and he is still working in the respondent company. Now no dispute is pending between the parties. This statement of the respondent-management was also agreed to by the representative of the workman Shri Darshan Singh.

In the light of the above statement of the parties, I hold that as the workman has been reinstated on duty by the management from July, 1980, no dispute is pending to be adjudicated upon.

I give my no dispute award while returning the reference. This be read in answar to this reference,

ISHWAR PRASAD CHAUDHRY,

Dated the 24th September, 1980.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1843, dated the 30th September, 1980

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigath as required under section 15 of the I. D. Act.

ISHWAR PRASAD CHAUDHRY.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-80-8Lab/11170.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Haryana Roadways, Kaithal.

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 209 of 1979

between

SHRI BAHADUR CHAND, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S HARYANA ROADWAYS, KAITHAL

Present:

Shri U. Kant, for the workman.

Shri Mohan Dass, for the management.

AWARD

This reference No. 209 of 79 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor,—vide his order No. 1D/50312, dated the 28th November, 1979 under section 10(i)(c) of the I. D. Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Bahadur Chand, workman and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Kaithal. The term of the reference was:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Bahadur Chand was justified and in order?"

On the receipt of the order of reference notices were sent to the parties. The parties put in their appearance in response to the same and filed their respective pleadings and on the basis of which the following issue was framed:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Bahadur Chand is justified and in order? if not, to what relief he is entitled?

And the management was asked to lead their evidence on 22nd July, 1980. Adjournment was granted for 8th August, 1980 for settlement. The statement of the workman was recorded. He

produced the copy of the order of the S. T. C. appeal against the order of General Manager, H. R., Kaithal, dated the 6th August, 1979. By the order of the S.T.C., the workman has been reinstated but without wages for the period he remained out of service. I make the award in term of the order of the S.T.C. Ex. W-1 and return the same in these terms. No order as to costs.

Dated the 26th September, 1980.

BANWARI LAL DALAL.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 2229, dated the 30th September, 1980

Forwarded (four copies), to the Secretary to Government, Harvana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Prosiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

H. L. GUGNANI, Secy.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH (CIRCLE AMBALA)

The 27th October, 1980

No. SE/PWD/B&R/Ambala/629.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is pleased that land specified below is needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for constructing Radaur Kheri-Lakha Singh Road to Bhagumaira Road, Tehsil Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern under the provision of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, P.W.D., B.&R. Branch, Ambala Cantt, hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B.&R. Branch, Ambala Canit. and the Executive Engineer.

SPECIFICATION

	District	Tohsil .	Locality/ Village	Hadbast No.	Area in Acres	Khasra No.
1.	Kurukshetra	Thanesar	Kheri Lakha	190	0.51	11
	4		Singh	•	b	19, 22/1, 22/2, 23/1
						14
•						$\frac{2}{1}, \frac{2}{2}, \frac{3}{3}$
2.	Kurukshetra	Thanesar	Bhagumajra	188	1.59	405, 409, 530, 531, 565, 560, 568, 566,
		•				569, 570, 571, 575, 611, 612, 613, 614,
						617 to 623, 574.
						•